UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 10/597,233 | 07/17/2006 | Keiichiro Oishi | MIKI0002 | 8092 |
| 24203 GRIFFIN & SZ | 7590 02/23/201 IPL, PC | EXAMINER | | |
| SUITE PH-1 | | | POLYANSKY, ALEXANDER | |
| ARLINGTON, | TREET, SOUTH VA 22204 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1735 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 02/23/2012 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

GandS@szipl.com burke@szipl.com

| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 10/597,233 | OISHI, KEIICHIRO | | | | |
|--|--|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Examinier-initialed linterview Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Alexander Polyansky | 1735 | | | | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO | All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): | | | | | |
| (1) <u>Alexander Polyansky</u> . | (3) | | | | | |
| (2) <u>Dr. Ashton</u> . | (4) | | | | | |
| Date of Interview: <u>12 December 2011</u> . | | | | | | |
| Type: X Telephonic Video Conference Personal [copy given to: Applicant | applicant's representative] | | | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes If Yes, brief description: | ⊠ No. | | | | | |
| Issues Discussed 101 112 102 103 Others (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion) | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>7-17, 19-21, 33-62, 67-80, 85-89, 93-97, 99-101,103-105 and 107-109</u> . | | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: <i>Furukuwa, Hasegawa</i> . | | | | | | |
| Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc) | | | | | | |
| Disucssed amending claim 7 to recite the range for Sn as claimed in amended claim 1 in order to allow the withdrawn product and method. Applicants agreed and filed a Supplemental Amendment on December 16, 2011 with the | | | | | | |
| <u>changes</u> . | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview. | | | | | | |
| Examiner recordation instructions : Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised. | | | | | | |
| Attachment | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Application No.

Applicant(s)